



**annual
report
2006**

CITY OF DURHAM TRUST

The Trust, founded in 1942, is a non-profit-distributing company limited by guarantee, registered in England and Wales, No 377108. Registered as a charity, No 502132. Registered with the Civic Trust

Founder:

The Very Revd Cyril A Alington, DD
Dean of Durham 1933-51

Patrons:

Sherban Cantacuzino, CBE, D.Univ, FRIBA
Sir William Whitfield, CBE, FRTPI, ARIBA

Governing Body of Trustees:

P J F Beard, BSc, DipLA, ALI
R Cornwell. BSc, AMBCS
Mrs J H Crosby, BA (deputy chairman)
Mrs F M Dobson, BA
A I Doyle, MA, PhD, FBA, FRHistS
Mrs J A Gill, BA
D M H Glen, BA, DipLA, ALI
C.P.Green,BA, PhD
Mrs J E Hepple, BA

D H Jones, Dip Arch, ARIBA
R C Norris, MA, DipLib
F M Orr, SSC, BA
D C D Pocock, MA, PhD (secretary)
N J Ruffle, BScEng, CEng, FICE, FRSA
(membership secretary)
Miss M E Sales, BA, BScEcon
Mrs K Taylor (treasurer) (from 12 May '04)

Honorary Treasurer:

Mrs K Taylor
Deva House
13 Tenter Terrace
DH1 4RD

Registered Office of the Trust:

c/o Blackett, Hart and Pratt
Kepier House
Belmont Business Park
DH1 1TW

Honorary Solicitors:

Blackett, Hart and Pratt
Kepier House
Belmont Business Park
DH1 1TW

Honorary Secretary:

c/o D C D Pocock, MA, PhD
c/o Blackett, Hart and Pratt
Kepier House
Belmont Business Park
DH1 1TW

Auditors:

Pullan Barnes
Chartered Accountants
49 Front Street
Framwellgate Moor, DH1 5BL

Bankers:

Co-operative Bank plc
5-6 Saddler Street
DH1 3NP

SIXTY-FOURTH ANNUAL REPORT OF THE TRUSTEES 2005-2006

'Passionate people, passionate places.' The current description of North-East England by our regional tourist agency surely incorporates Trust members and the City which we hold dear. How could one's response to the architectural and aesthetic climax of the City ever be taken for granted. The world of planning, however, has to put logic and reason above passion. It takes place within a framework of policies which have been determined at different levels. Such frameworks are currently in a high state of flux.

DURHAM IN CONTEXT

The long-term strategy for all local government activity is being set by a Regional Spatial Strategy [RSS], with policies intended to run until 2021 or beyond. Following an earlier Consultation Draft, the Submission Draft of the RSS has reached the Examination in Public stage, and is currently in session. Your Secretary, an invited participant, argued for moderation towards the City, rather than policies that encouraged its declared aim to become "the Capital of the North." For Durham City, stewardship and sustainability are especially applicable concepts, in addition to renaissance and rejuvenation, which are sought by all districts in the County.

Although the County Structure Plan is being superseded by the RSS, the County authority still has the duty of producing some thematic plans. Most significant here is its Local Transport Plan for 2006-2011 [LTP2]. The provisional version, submitted in July 2005, introduced a misnamed Northern Relief Road, an environmentally disastrous scheme offering a minimum of traffic relief to the city centre. (See Bulletin 59) On learning that there was little chance of central funding, the final version of LTP2, submitted in March 2006, omitted the road. However, the Authority had already made a successful bid to central government's Transport Innovation Fund for finance to study measures to curb predicted long-term congestion in the City. Although this particular Fund is restricted to management schemes, and not new roads, the first of two alternatives being studied by the Authority is, strangely, stated to be "introducing a charge at a specific point on the busy A690, with through traffic potentially being diverted onto new alternative routes." It would be premature, therefore, entirely to refer to the Northern Relief Road in the past tense.

At District level Trustees submitted comments on no fewer than eight plan documents for the Local Development Framework [LDF]. Its programme, however, has fallen behind schedule. In this respect, the Authority's rate of progress is no slower than many another, being

swamped by the scale of LDF requirements - options, preferences, appraisals, consultations. One document, ironically concerned with sustainability, contained over four hundred pages! The replacement of the Local Plan procedure by the LDF, incidentally, was intended to speed up and simplify the process.

In addition to the LDF at District level, there is the on-going preparation of a so-called Master Plan, whereby a steering group aims to guide the future of Durham City centre over the next fifteen years. Last summer, as a part of this process, a public consultation exercise on the future of the City was undertaken by means of a questionnaire. The results were published by the Public Affairs Bureau in October 2005, and summarised in a glossy edition of the City's Newsletter in February 2006. It was interesting to note that while the front cover headline read "Durham says 'yes' to change," inside, the Leader of the Council qualified it by adding "but their highest priority is to protect the heritage and character of the city." In fact, of the twelve options which people were asked to rank as being "the most important factors for improving the city," easily in first position, with more than twice the votes of any other factor, was 'Protecting the Heritage.' On the other hand, 'New Developments' ranked tenth and 'Increasing Tourism' was twelfth.

Members will note that the finding with regard to heritage, with concomitant restraint to other questions, contrasts markedly with the consultant's report into leisure potential for the Visioning project. The latter recommended "'taking liberties' with cherished local treasures" as part of adding 'grooviness' (Bulletin 56). Should such recommendations be incorporated into the Master Plan, they clearly would carry no public mandate.

MAJOR DEVELOPMENTS

The city's three Park and Ride sites at Carrville, Sniperley and South Road came into operation in December and are well patronised. Environmentally, it is a matter of regret that landscaping alongside the South Road site has been so little, so late. One may also query why the bus lane along the A690 to the Bede roundabout should be reserved exclusively for a single, local bus which runs once every ten minutes. Why not non-local buses, commercial vehicles, even cars with more than one occupant?

Two large residential developments are now in mid-phase, St Leonard's on the southern half of the former grounds of Dryburn Hospital, and Sheraton Park, on the former grounds of Neville's Cross College. The greatest

concentration of activity, however, is in the very centre, down river from Framwellgate Bridge. It is one which has given considerable concern to Trustees, not only with regard to content, but also in the manner in which the applications have been processed.

On the former library site at the bottom of South Street, both Trustees and Chair of the planning committee shared a different vision from that of the Planning Officer of what had been presented and, therefore, approved. Following the Planning Officer's subsequent explanatory statement, which hardly convinced, Trustees received an apology, with a pledge for greater clarity and openness in the future.

Below Framwellgate Bridge construction started on a three-storey apartment block. Clements Quay, out of scale with the restored workshops of Back Silver Street and absorbing the last piece of greenery which the Authority once intended to run into the very centre. Meanwhile, the Millburngate Centre is suddenly undergoing a make-over, reappearing as 'the Gates', as is obvious from whichever direction it is approached. Trustees objected to the proposed proliferation of illuminated signs, advertising and some rendering, but to no effect. The dramatic change, in the very heart of the Conservation Area and in the shadow of the World Heritage Site, did not even appear before the planning committee. Members might care to stand at Highgate, by the Millburngate roundabout, and view the sign for Waitrose in the foreground of a classic view of castle and cathedral. It will surely be only a matter of time before the store chooses to broadcast this particular view as advertising copy country-wide.

Further down river, ponderous Walkergate is sufficiently complete to show that its mass is concealing, rather than complementing, the fine architecture of Millennium Place. Its excessive height in front of the Clayport Library was at last conceded by the Planning Officer in December, having assured Trustees for six months that the emerging steel structure was in accordance with approved plans. When the architects finally admitted that in fact it exceeded the height for which permission had been given by more than six feet, an amended approval was immediately granted. Trustees had requested that it be lowered - as happened with the University Library at Palace Green in the 1960s - but it was considered as unreasonable by the Authority. It is surely the Authority which has acted unreasonably. Incidentally, the increased height also deceived the County Authority, which had applied to attach signage to the riverside end of the Library. It did not proceed when it was discovered it would not be visible.

The former ice rink site, latterly the Meridian Health and Fitness Club, has been yet another cause for concern. An application for 99 flats, with a 'cultural' appendage, was lodged in mid-November and brought to a special planning committee with recommendation for approval five and a half weeks later, four days before Christmas. (The Authority is allowed eight weeks for determination; major applications regularly take much longer.) In view of the haste, relative lack of publicity and lack of considered consultation for a scheme of such significance, Trustees contacted Government Office North East with a plea for wider scrutiny. Fortunately, the Secretary of State agreed, and a called-in Inquiry has been arranged, to begin on 28th June.



Classic view, plus Waitrose (D.Pocock)

Down river again, on the opposite bank, work at last began on a large hotel, but not before approval had been obtained for a 108 apartment block (Closegate) on the adjacent site down stream. Questions still remain in Trustees' minds over this development. The site was outside the boundary designated for development in the Local Plan. Again, should the 'need' for residential approval in order to secure the financial security for construction of the hotel have been allowed to influence the decision? Moreover, there was need of a separate application to re-site a large electricity transformer from the proposed residential site. It was approved, even though re-siting was to be on land designated as an Area of High Landscape Value and within the Conservation Area. How could it have passed the latter's neces-

sary test of 'preserving or enhancing the area'? The architecture itself was unsympathetic to its context; an eight-storey tower will now be passed on the way to Crook Hall.

The next major scheme is destined to be at Elvet Water-side, where adjoining plots and property owned by the City and University, at the back of Old Elvet, hold what is termed 'development potential'. It has arisen from the University intending to transfer its activities to the former Mountjoy Research Centre and the City selecting a different site for its new swimming baths. The Authority's Design Brief for the area, issued in September, gives reason for hope, although incorporation of the (disused) bowling green is unfortunate. More disturbing, however, are the speed of the proposed timetable - little more than six months from first marketing to choice of developers and agreeing contracts - and the list of criteria for evaluating submitted entries, in which 'price' is unassailable.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLUSES

Pride of place here belongs to the two winners of this year's Architectural Commendation (Bulletin 60). The rebuilding of St Brandon's church within the burnt out medieval shell is a masterful transformation. Under the guidance of Christopher Downs, the former, historically important interior has been replaced by an open, light space, at once peaceful and at unity with itself. Light enters through clear windows with patterns of leading in the tradition of Northumbrian glazing, a feature itself worthy of a visit.

The Arts Centre at Durham Gilesgate Sixth Form College is a highly successful structure. School buildings have hardly been noted for their architectural quality in recent times, but the Arts Centre, designed by Ian Scott, passes all the criteria of good architecture. Located towards the end of the drive from Freemans Place, its satisfying curved form and monopitch roof guides one to the main College building, which is itself thereby enhanced. Terracotta cladding further links the two buildings.

The North Road bus station was purchased and refurbished by the County Council during the year. Ambience and comfort have been much improved, though bus service signage is not easy to read. The railway station, which has seen exemplary restoration of the 'down' (ie northbound) platform in recent years, is about to receive similar attention from GNER to its 'up' platform. The original portico entrance is to be opened, lifts installed and a glazed concourse area created on the platform. (The original canopy, lost when the platform was recessed to ease the curve for high-speed 125s, will not be reconstructed.)

A new office building which has added to the architectural stock of the City, is that of Sunderland International

Marine Insurance Company at Aykley Heads estate. Designed by Garfield Nairn and Neil Robinson, the classical south elevation appropriately incorporates nautical features.

ENVIRONMENTAL QUERIES

Various elements about the City deserve comment, not least the Sky Bowl project. This appeared 'out of the blue' last July as an intended skyline feature on the green ridge of Aykley Heads overlooking the City. The project received no favours from its initial publicity, which spoke of a new icon for Durham, with a role of creating increased prosperity for the region. An accompanying illustration showed the Bowl and Cathedral in an impossible juxtaposition. Discussion with the sculptor, Pal Svensson, and preliminary intervisibility studies have enabled Trustees to view it in a more balanced perspective. Further feasibility studies and another meeting are awaited.

The new Soccarena structure, widely prominent in views from around the District, has been reported to the Ombudsman by two members of the public. A pronouncement is imminent. Meanwhile, nearby, the hedge lining Broomside Lane has been treated with variable respect by new developments.

The motorway-type barriers on the A167 bridge over the railway at Neville's Cross have now received colourful patterning, which is presumably the promised 'camouflage' intended to lighten the bulky structure in this particular environment. Kingsgate Bridge was acquired by the County Authority during the year; its first act was to begin to install standard lamp posts and apply equally questionable treatment to the steps at the peninsular side. The 'improvements' were halted when attention was drawn to the Grade 1 listed status. On the peninsula itself Trustees welcomed the recent erasing of all yellow lining, imagining that a Historic Core Zone was to be declared. Unfortunately not, for a more adhesive paint is to be applied, and future permanent removal remains 'on hold'. Outside County Hall itself, the Council's 'announcement facility', erected to improve the image of the Authority (Bulletin 57), continues to inform drivers, as they navigate the roundabout, with such important notices as 'Learn to say hello in Portuguese. Ola'.

Trustees queried the fact that the re-laid towpath between Brown's Boathouse and Elvet Bridge has become a car park overflow. Unfortunately, correspondence on the query has gone round in circles between City and County Authorities, Ultimate Leisure and the Prince Bishops Shopping Centre.

Finally, mention made be made of an adjustment to a streetscape feature which will almost certainly escape the eye's detection. An indication is most likely to come

through one's ankles. Outside Elvet Riverside 1, in New Elvet, the kerb has been removed for some forty metres and the pavement neatly re-laid to slope imperceptibly towards the road. Wheelchair access to and from vehicles is thereby eased, but for unwary pedestrians a frosty surface could cause embarrassment or worse.

EVENTS

Three lectures were given during the year. After last year's AGM, Carol Pyrah, regional director of English Heritage, spoke on her Department's approach to overseeing heritage in the North East. In the autumn, Brian Masterman spoke of his career as inspector at planning inquiries. A surprising fact to emerge was that, after the initial induction period, there was no subsequent comparative review or standardisation of decisions among the Inspectorate. Both speaker and topic chose themselves for the spring meeting - Christopher Downs on the rebuilding of St Brandon's church, held in Brancepeth church.

The choice of the Trust's Christmas card this year reverted to a colour depiction of a traditional view. An early 19th century water colour, in private ownership, showed Durham Cathedral and Castle from Old Durham. Sales were encouraging, but overall were adversely affected by an off-centre re-location of the 'Cards for Good Causes' outlet. Bulletins 59 and 60 were issued, while the draft of another Walk pamphlet was completed. Walk No 5, on Claypath and Gilesgate, could of course only have one author - Dorothy Meade. The manuscript is currently at the printers.

The winner of the Trust's Award in Durham in Bloom, given for 'long-term contribution to the local or civic amenity', was won by Mr R. Cheetham of Coxhoe. The City itself won Britain in Bloom in its class, a tribute to its co-ordinator, Andrew Jackson, who was awarded a well-deserved Britain in Bloom Commendation.

In September the Trust again provided volunteer stewards for the largest yet number of sites open to the public under the English Heritage Open Day scheme.

Planting of a different kind occurred in February, with a memorial tree for Mr David Fry, the late head teacher of Finchale Primary School. It was particularly appropriate that pupils should assist Trustees Mary Sales and Paul Beard in the actual planting, for Mr Fry had enthused the children in both caring about plants and in the design and planting. The results are a perimeter shelter-belt and, in conjunction with Durham Wildlife Trust, a sensory garden. (The plants were provided by the Trust by means of a past grant from the Sir James Knott Fund.)



Treeplanting at Finchale School (P.Beard)

PERSONALIA

Dr Colin Green was elected Trustee at last year's AGM. As a prominent figure in the Balanced Communities working party, and among the Crossgate residents' group, Colin has widened the overview at the Trustees' table.

Two Trustees, Mrs Karen Taylor and Mr Roger Norris, have indicated their wish to step down after this year's AGM. Both will be greatly missed. Karen, a member since 1985, became actively supportive of Trust work long before her election as Trustee in 2000. Particular valuable was her attention to licensing proposals and attendance at hearings. Doubtless she found more enjoyment as Trust co-ordinator in the annual Beautiful Durham Competition. Above all, however, we are indebted to the generous gift of her time as Treasurer. Here, her considerable business acumen has been to the fore. Karen has recently negotiated the steps to achieve a simpler auditing scheme for Trust accounts, technically an independent examiner's report (in line with general directions issued by the Charity Commissioners).

Roger Norris has been a member since 1967 and Trustee since 1973. For the first six years he was Trust Secretary; in more recent years he has assumed the unnamed role as minute secretary. He has been, and remains, invaluable as a walking directory on historic Durham. On the rare occasions when he does not know the answer to one's query, he knows immediately where to look. From his base in the libraries of the University and Dean and Chapter, he has assisted countless researchers. International scholars and pupils on school projects, alike, have all received unstinting assistance, with Roger himself seeming always to share the enthusiasm of the quest. This society owes him a huge debt - as do other bodies in both city and diocese - for his devotion to the common good.

D.C.D.P.

ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING

The sixty-fourth Annual General Meeting of the City of Durham Trust will be held in Room 141, Elvet Riverside 1, New Elvet at 7.15 pm on Wednesday 10 May 2006

Members and friends are cordially invited to attend.

AGENDA

1. Apologies for absence.
2. Minutes of the 63rd Annual General Meeting (Wednesday 11 May 2005).
3. Matters arising from the Minutes.
4. Report of the Trustees and the Presentation of Audited Accounts of the Trust for the year ended 31 December 2005.
5. Appointment of the honorary officers of the Trust.
6. Appointment of Trustees.

The following Trustees retire by rotation:

Mrs J A Gill, Mrs J E Hepple, Mr D H Jones, Mr R C Norris and Dr D C D Pocock.

All except Mr R C Norris, who does not wish to stand, are eligible for re-election.

Names of other possible new Trustees, together with proposer and seconder, and up to 100 words of recommendation, should reach the Secretary before the meeting.

7. Chairman's remarks.
8. Any other business which may be brought forward by members.
It would be helpful if notice of this could be given to the Secretary.

LECTURE

At 8 pm, after the AGM,
Trust Secretary, Douglas Pocock
will give an illustrated lecture on

THE FUTURES OF DURHAM

THE CITY OF DURHAM TRUST

BALANCE SHEET AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2005

	<u>2005</u> £	<u>2004</u> £
Current Assets		
CAF Account	16,504.84	15,112.88
Cash at Bank – Current Account	<u>615.91</u>	<u>311.49</u>
Total Assets	<u>17,120.75</u>	<u>15,424.37</u>
Represented By		
Fund		
Unrestricted	16,796.59	14,809.21
Restricted – Tree Planting	<u>324.16</u>	<u>615.16</u>
	<u>17,120.75</u>	<u>15,424.37</u>

Approved by the Board of Trustees on April 2006 and signed on its behalf by

..... Trustee

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL ACTIVITIES
FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2005

	Notes	Unrestricted <u>Funds</u> £	Restricted <u>Funds</u> £	Total Funds <u>2005</u> £	Total Funds <u>2004</u> £
Income and Expenditure					
Incoming Resources					
Subscription		1,418.20	-	1,418.20	1,573.50
Donations		63.50	-	63.50	-
Legacy		-	-	-	100.00
Gift Aid Refund		269.64		269.64	356.79
Activities for Generating Funds					
Publications Sales	2	1,790.82	-	1,790.82	1,567.08
Interest Receivable		<u>632.96</u>	<u>9.00</u>	<u>641.96</u>	<u>531.28</u>
Total Incoming Resources		<u>4,175.12</u>	<u>9.00</u>	<u>4,184.12</u>	<u>4,128.65</u>
Resources Expended					
Direct Charitable Expenditure	3	295.00	300.00	595.00	965.00
Cost of Generating Funds	4	680.30	-	680.30	1,421.55
Management and Administration of the Charity	5	1,212.44	-	1,212.44	1,405.25
Memorial Bench		<u>-.</u>	<u>-.</u>	<u>-.</u>	<u>429.58</u>
Total Resources Expended		<u>2,187.74</u>	<u>300.00</u>	<u>2,487.74</u>	<u>4,221.38</u>
Net Movement in Funds		1,987.38	(291.00)	1,696.38	(92.73)
Balances Brought Forward at 1 January 2005		<u>14,809.21</u>	<u>615.16</u>	<u>15,424.37</u>	<u>15,517.10</u>
Balances Carried Forward at 31 December 2005		<u>16,796.59</u>	<u>324.16</u>	<u>17,120.75</u>	<u>15,424.37</u>

NOTES TO THE ACCOUNTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2005

1. **Accounting Policies**

- a. The financial statements have been prepared under the historical cost convention as modified by the inclusion of fixed assets, investments at market value and in accordance with the financial reporting standard for Smaller Entities (effective March 2000) the Companies Act 1985 and follow the recommendations in Accounting and Reporting by Charities: Statement of Recommended Practice issued in October 2000.
- b. Incoming resources from the sale of publications and investments is included when receivable.
- c. Resources expended are recognised in the period in which they are incurred.
- d. Unrestricted funds are donations and other incoming resources receivable or generated for the object of the charity without further specified purpose and are available as general funds.
- e. Restricted funds are to be used for specific purposes as laid down by the donor.

2. **Publication Sales**

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
‘St Cuthbert’	32.05	478.55
‘Essays’	208.00	183.95
‘Bonomi’	19.50	16.50
‘Visions’	24.50	4.65
‘Staircases’	-	1.50
‘Walks Leaflet’	21.44	1.20
‘Notelets’	2.40	0.80
‘Xmas Cards’	<u>1082.93</u>	<u>879.93</u>
	<u>1790.82</u>	<u>567.08</u>

NOTES TO THE ACCOUNTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2005 (Cont'd)

3. **Direct Charitable Expenditure**

	<u>Unrestricted Funds</u>	<u>Restricted Funds</u>	<u>Total Funds 2005</u>	<u>Total Fund 2004</u>
	£	£	£	£
<u>Trees</u>	-	300.00	300.00	600.00
<u>Subscriptions</u>				
Bow Trust	50.00	-	50.00	100.00
Civic Trust	10.00	-	10.00	10.00
Friends of Durham Cathedral	20.00	-	20.00	20.00
CPRE	25.00	-	25.00	25.00
Civic Trust	150.00	-	150.00	150.00
Alington House	-	-	-	20.00
Beautiful Durham	<u>40.00</u>	<u>-.</u>	<u>40.00</u>	<u>40.00</u>
	295.00	300.00	595.00	965.00

4. **Costs of Generating Funds**

Printing	<u>680.30</u>	<u>-.</u>	<u>680.30</u>	<u>1,421.55</u>
----------	---------------	-----------	---------------	-----------------

5. **Management & Administration of the Charity**

	<u>Unrestricted Funds</u>	<u>Restricted Funds</u>	<u>Total Funds 2005</u>	<u>Total Fund 2004</u>
	£	£	£	£
Postage, Stationery & Secretarial	436.39	-	436.39	415.88
Filing Fee	30.00	-	30.00	15.00
Audit Fee	-	-	-	76.37
AGM Expenses	95.90	-	95.90	97.15
Trustees – Room Hire	84.75	-	84.75	111.00
Development Committee Agendas, Bulletins etc	375.40	-	375.40	474.85
Insurance	190.00	-	190.00	190.00
Sundries	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>25.00</u>
	<u>1212.44</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1212.44</u>	<u>1405.25</u>

During the year the Trustees felt that the costs of Development Committee Agendas, Bulletins etc should be shown under a separate heading. In order to enable comparisons with expenses in the preceding year, the 2004 figures have been restated accordingly.

THE CITY OF DURHAM TRUST is a society set up in 1942 by local people who saw the need to conserve and encourage the appreciation of the historic City of Durham and its surroundings.

Our members are people who take a keen interest in what goes on in Durham City. They appreciate the role the Trust plays as a strong independent voice, free from party political agendas, that can put their concerns forward.

This leaflet tells you more about the Trust and how you can become a member.

What do we do?

Most people first hear of the Trust because of the campaigns we run, for example to save Brown's Boathouse, to oppose the growth of large pubs in the City centre, and to get a Green Belt for the City.

Behind this lies a solid body of work: around 1200 planning applications are made to Durham City Council each year and the Trust reviews them all, and makes representations where appropriate. Once or twice a year we will put our views at a Public Inquiry. We also have input into the County Structure Plan and City Local Plan, which set the planning policies in the longer term. We also make representations to the Licensing Justices about new pubs and clubs.

We restored the statue of Neptune in the Market Place and the teapot in Saddler Street. We make annual awards to the architects and clients of the best new or restored buildings. The Trust has placed plaques on buildings of interest, and provided trees for sites such as Stockton Road and Old Durham Gardens.

The Trust keeps its members and the wider public informed by holding public meetings and lectures, by publishing Annual Reports, Bulletins, books, and leaflets about the City (see back of the membership form), and via its web site (www.DurhamCity.org).

We co-operate with other City organisations and pressure groups. We have joined other bodies like ourselves by affiliating to the Civic Trust. We also consult with national bodies such as English Heritage.

How do we do it?

The Trust is managed by its Trustees, up to 20 in number, who are elected from the membership by the members. There are no paid employees. The work of running the Trust is carried out by the Honorary Secretary, the Trustees, and other members who are able to give their time and expertise to the Trust. The Trustees meet monthly in Alington House, North Bailey. Members are welcome to attend these meetings, and may speak with the agreement of the chair. But if members want to bring anything to the attention of the Trust, they are encouraged to approach any Trustee, all of whom live in or around the City.

So why not join us?

The effectiveness of the Trust depends on the support and size of its membership. We hope you will want to help the work we are doing by becoming a member. Please fill in the membership form (attached) and send it to:

**The Honorary Secretary,
City of Durham Trust,
c/o Blackett, Hart and Pratt,
Kepier House,
Belmont Business Park,
Durham DH1 1TW**

APPLICATION FORM

I/We wish to become a member of the City of Durham Trust and I/We enclose cash I standing order I a cheque payable to The City of Durham Trust.

Full Name & Address (capitals) Dr/Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Tel. No.

.....(postcode)

Email

.GiftAid! pay income tax and, unless I cease to do so

and notify the Trust, I would like the tax on my

subscriptions and any donations to be reclaimed by the

Trust.

Signed.....Date

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....